		3		T		
FORM PTO 1449 (modified) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT(S) (Use several sheets if necessary)			ATTY DOCKET NO. 00862.021892	APPLICATION NO. 09/547,284		
			APPLICANT HIROSHI SATOMI ET AL.			
		· ·	FILING DATE April 11, 2000		3624	
			U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS			
*EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE:	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
	5,579,087	11/26/96	Salgado	355	202	
	5,781,901	07/14/98	Kuzma	707	10	
	5,855,007	12/29/98	Jovicic et al.	705	14	
	}					
						-
						
	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS		. <u></u>	
	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION YES/NO/ OR ABSTRACT
	0 822 535 A2	02/04/98	EPO			
	0 778 534 A1	06/11/97	EPO			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	OTHER DOCL	MENT(S) (Including Author, Title, Date, Perdnem Pages, Etc.)	1	'	
	Samar, V., "Sin Infrastructure F Workshops On Soc., pp. 158-10	gle Sign-On or Collabor *, Stanford,	Using Cookies For Web Applications", E ative Enterprises, 1999, Proceedings IEE CA, USA, June 16-18, 1999, Los Alamitos	nabling T E 8th Inte s, CA, US	rechnolog rnational A, IEEE Co	ies: omput
	Gray, T., "Comp Connection, No	paring Two /	Approaches to Remote Mailbox Access: I 993, retrieved from <url:http: td="" www.ima<=""><td>MAP vs. I</td><td>POP", The ap.vs.pop.</td><td>IMAP brief.htm></td></url:http:>	MAP vs. I	POP", The ap.vs.pop.	IMAP brief.htm>
						:
				· · ·		
EXAMINER	<u> </u>	·	DATE CONSIDERED	<u>. </u>		

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEI If this form with next communication to applicant.	P 609; Draw tine through cliation if not in conformance and not considered. To	dutte coov
of this farm with next communication to applicant.		,

Sheet<u>1__</u> of <u>_1</u>

Form #62

NY_MAIN 393163v1